Plano Independent School District

Bowman Middle School

2020-2021



Board Approval Date: October 20, 2020

Mission Statement

As a part of the Plano ISD learning community, we will educate, inspire, and empower every student to activate their unique potential in a dynamic world.

Vision

We are dedicated professionals, who postively collaborate, to ensure intentional, meaningful, and child-centered learning for all students.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	9
Priority Problem Statements	11
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	12
Goals	13
Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.	14
Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.	17
Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.	19
Goal 4: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Social Studies as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR 8 Social Studies and STAAR/EOC U.S. History from 2019 to 2021.	21
SBIC Committee	23
Addendums	24

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Some of the data in the Summary and Strengths comes directly from the 2019-2020 Needs Assessment. School closure due to COVID-19 prevented the gathering of timely and significant new data.

2019-2020 Summary:

The Bowman ELL student population is increasing. The 6th grade total population decreased, but the students who are LEP increased from 72 students to 111 students. There is also an increase in the SPED, At-Risk and Economically Disadvantaged subgroups. There is a steady decline in the number of the white students who are enrolled in Bowmen. The number of 6th graders who are white went from 71 students to 46 students. Also, data shows the students are rarely exiting SPED or ESL services. There is not a lot of mobility within the Bowman campus community. The At-Risk students on campus are those who compose special populations such as SPED, ESL, CMIT/504 and low SES families. Our teacher/student ratio tends to hover around 23:1.

Demographics Strengths

- Bowman has created a year long PD plan incorporating SIOP strategies to help increase english language proficiency in students receiving ESL services. This plan has been implemented over a two year period and has produced academic gains for students who recieve ELL services.

- Bowman has implemented various interventions such as block math, reading courses and tutorials.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students are receiving ESL services for 5 or more years and are not making progress on TELPAS, therefore not being able to exit the ESL program. **Root Cause:** Students needed increased opportunities to listen, read, speak and write in class in conjunction with more knowledge about TELPAS format.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Bowman Middle Goals: (see tables in addendum): Individual goals are set for each student group with the expectation of high standards as well as to narrow the gap between each group and the All Students group. If the student group is below the All Students group, that student group's goal for 2024 is to narrow the performance gap by half as well as meet the overall percentage increase in the STAAR Meets Grade Level performance required for the All Students group.

Some of the data in the Summary and Strengths comes directly from the 2019-2020 Needs Assessment. School closure due to COVID-19 prevented the gathering of timely and significant new data.

2019-2020 Summary:

One of the goals on the 18-19 Campus Improvement Plan was to implement researched based teaching practices that will benefit students who are LEP and increase performance on STAAR performance. As a school, Bowman made signifigant gains on this goal by improved performance for students who are LEP, not only at the approaches standard, but also at the meets standard. However, these results did not translate to improved performance on student growth on TELPAS results, particularly in the area of speaking which impacts a student's composite score. Student feedback has revealed that students feel uncomfortable using th emicrophone during TELPAS testing. The group also discussed that students may not take TELPAS as seriously as they do STAAR and may have less knowledge about TELPAS testing. The group discussed that if teachers incorporated more writing throughout the year, then we might see more growth in TELPAS scores. The hope is that students move up a level on each domain for each year they are receiving ESL services. In this area, we made small gains this year. Historical data is not as helpful because the format of TELPAS testing changed three years ago into the online format. The group sees that Bowman is comparable to the district in student growth in each group of student by years in US schools. However, students receiving ESL services make up a larger percentage of the Bowman campus.

All percentages listed below are comparisons between 2017-2018 STAAR results to 2018-2019 STAAR Results:

For ELA 8 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard increased from 25% to 48%, the Meets standard increased from 2% to 18%, and the Masters standard increased from 0% to 2%.

For Math 8 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard increased from 58% to 84%, the Meets standard increased from 60% to 84%, and the Masters standard increased from 22% to 51%.

For Writing 7 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard decreased from 78% to 70%, the Meets standard increased from 22% to 30%, and the Masters standard decreased from 9% to 8%.

For Reading 6 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard decreased from 28% to 19%.

For Reading 7 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard increased from 36% to 42%, the Meets standard increased from 9% to 13%, and the Masters standard increased from 2% to 4%.

For Science 8 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard increased from 20% to 50%, the Meets standard increased from 8% to 21%, and the Masters standard increased from 4% to 5%.

For SS 8 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard increased from 10% to 44%, the Meets standard increased from 3% to 13%, and the Masters standard decreased from 3% to 2%.

For Math 6 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard increased from 57% to 71%, the Meets standard increased from 14% to 27%, and the

Masters standard increased from 4% to 5%.

For Math 7 the percentage of students who are LEP who achieved the Approaches standard increased from 64% to 66%, the Meets standard increased from 20% to 26%, and the Masters standard increased from 7% to 8%.

Progress levels for TELPAS progress from the 2018 school year to the 2019 school year:

For 6th grade, out of 63 students in 2018, 83% scored lower or the same on their progress levels. Out of 91 students in 2019, 88% scored lower or the same on their progress levels.

For 7th grade, out of 52 students in 2018, 81% scored lower or the same on their progress levels. Out of 66 students in 2019, 74% scored lower or the same on their progress levels.

For 8th grade, out of 51 students in 2018, 80% scored lower or the same on their progress levels. Out of 53 students in 2019, 87% scored lower or the same on their progress levels.

Bowman students showed an increase in students acheieving the approaches standard in each demographic group for Reading, Writing, and Math but not in GT (which was a small population). Looking at growth in Reading, the percentage in the Masters category in the Limited Growth percentage category was higher than the district average. So, the students who were achievied the Masters standard in 7th grade, were not longer in the Masters category in 8th grade, showing a lack of desired growth.

Looking across the board at Title I schools, Bowman has grown in comparison performance in every single grade and STAAR subject with the exception of 6th grade Reading.

The district wide percentage of students who passed Reading in 5th grade, but failed in 6th grade was 40%.

Of 91 students at Bowman, 6th grade reading 62% who passed 5th grade, failed in 6th grade.

Projected growth for ELLS on STAAR based on MAP:

STAAR data is unavailable due to the COVID19 school closure.

However, in the Spring of 2019 6% of ELL students achieved at the Masters Level on STAAR Reading. Map scores projected that 16% of them would have achieved at the Master's level for the 19-20 school year.

In the Spring of 2019, 13% of ELL students achieved at the Masters Level on STAAR Reading. Map scores projected that 11% would have achieved at the Master's level for the 19-20 school year. Although this is a 2% decrease, when evaluated as cohort data it is a 5% increase.

Finally, in the Spring of 2019, 18% of ELL students achieved at the Masters Level on STAAR Reading, Map scores projected that 21% would have achieved at the Master's levels for the 19-20 school year.

Student Learning Strengths

- Bowman scored above the district average in overall growth on STAAR.

- Compared to other Title I schools in Plano ISD, Bowman is continuing to trend upward to close the gaps in performance with the district average.

- Bowman showed double digit percentage growth in our neediest populations (SPED, LEP, ESL) at the Approaches standard on STAAR. Bowman even saw some of the students in these subpopulations achieve the Masters standard in oth 8th grade Reading and Math.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students are not showing expected achievement on 6th grader Reading STAAR. **Root Cause:** There is a need to improve planning and lessons to meet needs of students in the classroom.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Students who are performing at higher levels are needing learning extensions for continued growth. Root Cause: Lessons are not differentiated for continued growth for higher level students.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Some of the data in the Summary and Strengths comes directly from the 2019-2020 Needs Assessment. School closure due to COVID-19 prevented the gathering of timely and significant new data.

2019-2020 Summary:

On the HRS Survey, teachers reported they only slightly agree (3.5) that they have a voice in decision making and school policies. They feel that the data is collected effectively but that the results are not shared to the degree expected.

Teachers reveals that rubrics are effective and provided to teachers reflecting their strenths and weaknesses in performance. Teachers are effective at connecting feedback to student performance. Feedback and measurement from multiple sources was considered a weakness on the HRS survey. The survey also reveals that teachers regularly discuss instructional practices in meetings but have few opportunities to observe other teachers as a method to improve.

Bowman has a new teacher inducation/mentor program beyond what the district requires. According to the HRS survey most teachers agree that campus leaders provide evaluation results and growth plans to support new teachers.

Most teachers on campus agree or strongly agree, according to the HRS survey, that school leaders use highly specific rubrics to give teachers feedback. School leaders regularly talk to teachers and observe teachers but fewer teachers agree with this. These are all actions that can build capacity and support the notion of continuous improvement.

Data is gathered on campus from school leaders doing walkthroughs. This data shows predominant instructional practices and trends. Leadership decided to have staff participate in SIOP PD for the 18-19 school year based on data results. Campus and district leaders then continued walkthroughs. As a result, student peformance was impacted positively. In the 8th grade administration of STAAR, campus LEP students increased at the Approaches, Meets, and Masters standards. Sixth and Seventh grade saw positive gains as well.

Previous STAAR/MAP/ assessment results are used by PLC teams to suggest changes in curriculum, teacign approach, and assessment design.

PLCs are in place. Implementation various in collaborative teams.

According to HRS survey results, 1/2 of teachers feel they have opportunities to observe and discuss effective teaching through technology and the other 1/2 disagree -- no teachesr strongly agreed with this statement according to the HRS survey.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Campus-based professional development opportunties afforded to teachers to improve on campus-wide areas of weakness (i.e. SIOP)
- New teacher mentor program was successful at providing support during teachers' first year on campus.
- Collaborative teams were effective at cimproving teaching strategies, curriculum changes, and assessment designs.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teachers reported that they had few if any opportunities to observe other teachers in an effort to improve instructional practices. **Root Cause:** Few opportunities provided for lack of time and concern with too many initiatives at one time.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Some of the data in the Summary and Strengths comes directly from the 2019-2020 Needs Assessment. School closure due to COVID-19 prevented the gathering of timely and significant new data.

2019-2020 Summary:

According to the HRS survey and Campus Safety Survey,

Bowman is viewed as an orderely place with clear and specific rules and procedures in place.

Students and parents are aware of rules/procedures. However, there is a high standard deviation on this reult on the teacher survey.

There is a large discrepencacy between teacher and staff survey results on whether teachers are afforded ways to provide input involving the optional function of the school.

Teachers and departments do not feel as thought they are being acknowledged for their accomplishments.

There is low agreement on the use of consistent and frequent feedback.

Faculty feel that instructional practices are regularly discussed at meetings.

Staff feel that professional development opportunties are provided.

Services provided at Bowman: Parent Liaison, Communities in Schools, 6th grade Curriculum Night, PTA

Over 1,000 referrals for the 2018-2019 school year.

There are few programs that address mental health needs for students.

Perceptions Strengths

- The 18-19 school year had a very clear Campus Improvment Plan with two very clear goals and implementation plan.

- Bowman benefits from positive parent and community support.
- The Bowman staff feel that instructional practices are regularly discussed at meetings.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Staff articulate a need for more positive recognition of staff and acknowledgement of staff contributions. **Root Cause:** Administration currently have no systematic way in which we acknowledge and celebrate staff on a consistent basis

Problem Statement 2: There is a need for clear policies and procedures to be communicated to staff, students, and families. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of communication systems and channels to articulate policies and procedures.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Students are receiving ESL services for 5 or more years and are not making progress on TELPAS, therefore not being able to exit the ESL program.Root Cause 1: Students needed increased opportunities to listen, read, speak and write in class in conjunction with more knowledge about TELPAS format.Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Students are not showing expected achievement on 6th grader Reading STAAR.Root Cause 2: There is a need to improve planning and lessons to meet needs of students in the classroom.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Students who are performing at higher levels are needing learning extensions for continued growth.Root Cause 3: Lessons are not differentiated for continued growth for higher level students.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Teachers reported that they had few if any opportunities to observe other teachers in an effort to improve instructional practices.Root Cause 4: Few opportunities provided for lack of time and concern with too many initiatives at one time.Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

• District goals

Accountability Data

- Student Achievement Domain
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Student Groups

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- TTESS data

Parent/Community Data

• Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Study of best practices

Goals

Revised/Approved: September 28, 2020

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Bowman students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading 6-8 will increase from 46% in 2019 to 48% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 10% in 2019 to 13% in 2021. The English Learner student group performance will increase from 28% in 2019 to 32% in 2021.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Reading

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details		Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Campus Instructional Leadership including Campus Administration, PLC Coach, and Title I Coach will create and implement		Formative	
a year long professional development plan implementing systems and creating capacity in teachers based on the framework of the book Learning by Doing and the Plano ISD Collaborative Team Framework.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus-wide implementation of mission, vision, values and goals. Collaborative teams who will meet three times a week will engage in work that is focused on learning, collaborative in nature, and results oriented. The Professional Development Plan will directly increase individual and team capacity resulting in increased student achievement.		65%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: PLC Coach will meet with collaborative team facilitators as a group on a monthly basis prior to the campus-wide PD to coach	Formative		
the facilitators on leading their collaborative teams and incorporating -norms -SMART goals - establishing essential knowledge and skills per content - forming common formative assessment - analyzing assessment results - implementing interventions PLC Coach will also	Nov	Feb	June
participate in one-on-one coaching sessions with the collaborative facilitators.		70%	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased functioning of collaborative teams resulting in increased student achievement.			75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6			

Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		ews		
Strategy 3: Collaborative Teams will meet three times a week for intentional planning. Each meeting will include: - Agenda - Data		Formative			
Reviewed - 4 Critical Questions - Visits from Instructional Leadership Team	Nov	Feb	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic performance by implementing the 4 critical questions and instructional protocols.		70%	2014		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership		70%	80%		
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6					
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews		
Strategy 4: Increase capacity of teachers in strategies for educating students who are English Language Learners through a continued PD		Formative			
plan. The plan will include embedding SIOP and ELLS strategies in the PLC framework and collaborative team process and output documents.	Nov	Feb	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in use of Language Objectives and ELL strategies in the classroom. Increase of individual accountability in reading, writing, listening and speaking in the classroom.		70%	85%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership					
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	e				

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics				
Problem Statement 1: Students are receiving ESL services for 5 or more years and are not making progress on TELPAS, therefore not being able to exit the ESL program. Root Cause: Students needed increased opportunities to listen, read, speak and write in class in conjunction with more knowledge about TELPAS format.				
Student Learning				
Problem Statement 1: Students are not showing expected achievement on 6th grader Reading STAAR. Root Cause: There is a need to improve planning and lessons to meeds of students in the classroom.	leet			

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Bowman students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing 7 will increase from 36% in 2019 to 38% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 2% in 2019 to 4% in 2021. The English Learner student group will increase from 19% in 2019 to 22% in 2021.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Writing

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Campus Instructional Leadership including Campus Administration, PLC Coach, and Title I Coach will create and implement	Formative		
a year long professional development plan implementing systems and creating capacity in teachers based on the framework of the book Learning by Doing and the Plano ISD Collaborative Team Framework.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus-wide implementation of mission, vision, values and goals. Collaborative teams who will meet three times a week will engage in work that is focused on learning, collaborative in nature, and results oriented. The Professional Development Plan will directly increase individual and team capacity resulting in increased student achievement.		65%	80%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1, 2			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: PLC Coach will meet with collaborative team facilitators as a group on a monthly basis prior to the campus-wide PD to coach	Formative		
the facilitators on leading their collaborative teams and incorporating -norms -SMART goals - establishing essential knowledge and skills per content - forming common formative assessment - analyzing assessment results - implementing interventions PLC Coach will also	Nov	Feb	June
participate in one-on-one coaching sessions with the collaborative facilitators. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased functioning of collaborative teams resulting in increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy		70%	85%
Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 3: Increase capacity of teachers in strategies for educating students who are English Language Learners through a continued PD		Formative	
plan. The plan will include embedding SIOP and ELLS strategies in the PLC framework and collaborative team process and output documents.	Nov	Feb	June

reading, writing, listening nitoring: Campus Admini	g and speaking in the classroo stration and Leadership	l ELL strategies in the classroon om.	n. Increase of	70%	80%
^{0%} No Progress	Accomplished		X Discontinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

 Demographics

 Problem Statement 1: Students are receiving ESL services for 5 or more years and are not making progress on TELPAS, therefore not being able to exit the ESL program.

 Root Cause: Students needed increased opportunities to listen, read, speak and write in class in conjunction with more knowledge about TELPAS format.

 Student Learning

 Problem Statement 1: Students are not showing expected achievement on 6th grader Reading STAAR. Root Cause: There is a need to improve planning and lessons to meet needs of students in the classroom.

 Problem Statement 2: Students who are performing at higher levels are needing learning extensions for continued growth Root Cause: Lessons are not differentiated for

Problem Statement 2: Students who are performing at higher levels are needing learning extensions for continued growth. **Root Cause**: Lessons are not differentiated for continued growth for higher level students.

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Bowman students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math 6-8 will increase from 62% in 2019 to 63% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 21% in 2019 to 24% in 2021. The English Learner student group performance will increase from 50% in 2019 to 52% in 2021.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Math

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Campus Instructional Leadership including Campus Administration, PLC Coach, and Title I Coach will create and implement			
a year long professional development plan implementing systems and creating capacity in teachers based on the framework of the book Learning by Doing and the Plano ISD Collaborative Team Framework.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus-wide implementation of mission, vision, values and goals. Collaborative teams who will meet three times a week will engage in work that is focused on learning, collaborative in nature, and results oriented. The Professional Development Plan will directly increase individual and team capacity resulting in increased student achievement.		70%	80%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1, 2			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: PLC Coach will meet with collaborative team facilitators as a group on a monthly basis prior to the campus-wide PD to coach	Formative		
the facilitators on leading their collaborative teams and incorporating -norms -SMART goals - establishing essential knowledge and skills per content - forming common formative assessment - analyzing assessment results - implementing interventions PLC Coach will also participate in one-on-one coaching sessions with the collaborative facilitators.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased functioning of collaborative teams resulting in increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6		70%	80%
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Collaborative Teams will meet three times a week for intentional planning. Each meeting will include: - Agenda - Data		Formative	
Reviewed - 4 Critical Questions - Visits from Instructional Leadership Team	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic performance by implementing the 4 critical questions and instructional protocols.Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership		70%	80%

Strategy 4 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
Strategy 4: Increase capacity of teachers in strategies for educating students who are English Language Learners through a continued PD			
plan. The plan will include embedding SIOP and ELLS strategies in the PLC framework and collaborative team process and output documents.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in use of Language Objectives and ELL strategies in the classroom. Increase of individual accountability in reading, writing, listening and speaking in the classroom. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership		70%	85%
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	le		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Students are receiving ESL services for 5 or more years and are not making progress on TELPAS, therefore not being able to exit the ESL program. **Root Cause**: Students needed increased opportunities to listen, read, speak and write in class in conjunction with more knowledge about TELPAS format.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Students are not showing expected achievement on 6th grader Reading STAAR. **Root Cause**: There is a need to improve planning and lessons to meet needs of students in the classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Students who are performing at higher levels are needing learning extensions for continued growth. **Root Cause**: Lessons are not differentiated for continued growth for higher level students.

Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Bowman students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science 8 will increase from 53% in 2019 to 55% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 12% in 2019 to 14% in 2021. The English Learner student group performance will increase from 36% in 2019 to 38% in 2021.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Science

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Foi	mative Revi	ews	
 Strategy 1: Campus Instructional Leadership including Campus Administration, PLC Coach, and Title I Coach will create and implement a year long professional development plan implementing systems and creating capacity in teachers based on the framework of the book Learning by Doing and the Plano ISD Collaborative Team Framework. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus-wide implementation of mission, vision, values and goals. Collaborative teams who will meet three times a week will engage in work that is focused on learning, collaborative in nature, and results oriented. The Professional Development Plan will directly increase individual and team capacity resulting in increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 	Nov	Formative Feb	June 85%	
Strategy 2 Details rategy 2: PLC Coach will meet with collaborative team facilitators as a group on a monthly basis prior to the campus-wide PD to coach		Formative Reviews Formative		
the facilitators on leading their collaborative teams and incorporating -norms -SMART goals - establishing essential knowledge and skills per content - forming common formative assessment - analyzing assessment results - implementing interventions PLC Coach will also participate in one-on-one coaching sessions with the collaborative facilitators. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased functioning of collaborative teams resulting in increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Increase capacity of teachers in strategies for educating students who are English Language Learners through a continued PD plan. The plan will include embedding SIOP and ELLS strategies in the PLC framework and collaborative team process and output	Nov	Formative Feb	June	

individual accountability in	n reading, writing, listening nitoring: Campus Admini	of Language Objectives and g and speaking in the classro stration and Campus Leader	n. Increase of		70%	85%
	0% No Progress	Accomplished	 X Discontinue	;		

Goal 4: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Social Studies as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR 8 Social Studies and STAAR/EOC U.S. History from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Bowman students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Social Studies 8 will increase from 38% in 2019 to 40% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 7% in 2019 to 9% in 2021. The English Learner student group will increase from 19% in 2019 to 22% in 2021.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Social Studies

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Campus Instructional Leadership including Campus Administration, PLC Coach, and Title I Coach will create and implement			
a year long professional development plan implementing systems and creating capacity in teachers based on the framework of the book Learning by Doing and the Plano ISD Collaborative Team Framework.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus-wide implementation of mission, vision, values and goals. Collaborative teams who will meet three times a week will engage in work that is focused on learning, collaborative in nature, and results oriented. The Professional Development Plan will directly increase individual and team capacity resulting in increased student achievement.		70%	85%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1, 2			
Strategy 2 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 2: PLC Coach will meet with collaborative team facilitators as a group on a monthly basis prior to the campus-wide PD to coach		Formative	
the facilitators on leading their collaborative teams and incorporating -norms -SMART goals - establishing essential knowledge and skills per content - forming common formative assessment - analyzing assessment results - implementing interventions PLC Coach will also	Nov	Feb	June
participate in one-on-one coaching sessions with the collaborative facilitators. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased functioning of collaborative teams resulting in increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6		70%	85%
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Collaborative Teams will meet three times a week for intentional planning. Each meeting will include: - Agenda - Data		Formative	
Reviewed - 4 Critical Questions - Visits from Instructional Leadership Team	Nov	Feb	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic performance by implementing the 4 critical questions and instructional protocols. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 		70%	85%

Strategy 4 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 4: Increase capacity of teachers in strategies for educating students who are English Language Learners through a continued PD	Formative		
plan. The plan will include embedding SIOP and ELLS strategies in the PLC framework and collaborative team process and output documents. The plan will also engage families of students receiving ESL services in these processes.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in use of Language Objectives and ELL strategies in the classroom. Increase of individual accountability in reading, writing, listening and speaking in the classroom.		70%	85%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Leadership			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	ie	•	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Students are receiving ESL services for 5 or more years and are not making progress on TELPAS, therefore not being able to exit the ESL program. **Root Cause**: Students needed increased opportunities to listen, read, speak and write in class in conjunction with more knowledge about TELPAS format.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Students are not showing expected achievement on 6th grader Reading STAAR. **Root Cause**: There is a need to improve planning and lessons to meet needs of students in the classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Students who are performing at higher levels are needing learning extensions for continued growth. **Root Cause**: Lessons are not differentiated for continued growth for higher level students.

SBIC Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Lindsey Radford	Assistant Principal
Administrator	Brooks Baca	Principal
Administrator	Treesia Brannon	Assistant Principal
Classroom Teacher	Lori Miller	AVID Coordinator
Classroom Teacher	Jessica Ellison	Science Teacher/Coach
Non-classroom Professional	Tracie Chambers	Librarian
Non-classroom Professional	Jennifer Fernberg	SPED Department Chair
Non-classroom Professional	Kristin Ernst	Title I Coach
Non-classroom Professional	Jordan Smith	PLC Coach
Paraprofessional	Flor Hamilton	Parent Liaison
Classroom Teacher	Rachel Willms	Math Teacher
Parent	Marsi Stover	Parent
Parent	Vanessa Izaguirre	Parent
Parent	Cecilia Buttler	Parent
Parent	Valerie Vazquez	Parent
Parent	Kathia Rodriguez	Parent
Parent	Daysel Mayorga	Parent

Addendums

			Во	wman ·	- STAAI	R Socia	l Studie	s Grad	e 8					
The percent of	f 8th grade s	tudents that	score Mee	ts grade leve	el or above o	on STAAR S	ocial Studies	Grade 8 wi	ll increase fr	om 38% in 2	2019 to 40%	by June 20	21.	
					Yearly	[,] Target	Goals							
2020			2021		rearry	2022	Cours		2023			2024		
39%														
2019 Baseline: 3	38%		40%			42%			44%			46%		
			Clocir	og tha G	and Stu	idant C	roune V	oorly Ta	vraote					
Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets African American Am														
	African American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	38	31	47	*	62	*	38	7	26	11	19	37	43	38
2020	39	33	47	*	62	*	39	8	28	12	20	37	44	39
2021	42	35	48	*	63	*	40	9	31	14	22	38	46	40
2022	45	39	48	*	63	*	42	11	35	16	25	38	48	42
2023	49	43	49	*	64	*	44	14	40	19	28	39	51	44
2024	54	49	51	*	66	*	47	17	46	22	33	41	54	46
2019-2021	4	4	1	*	1	*	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2010														
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	10
2020	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2022	7	8	1	7	1	4	4	4	9	5	6	1	5	4
2023	11	12	2	11	2	6	6	7	14	8	9	2	8	6
2024	16	18	4	16	4	8	9	10	20	11	14	4	11	8

				Bowm	an - ST	AAR Gr	ade 7 V	Vriting						
The perce	nt of 7th grad	e students t	hat score N	leets grade	evel or abo	ve on STAAI	R Writing Gr	ade 7 will ir	crease from	36% in 201	9 to 38% by	June 2021.		
					Yearly	v Target	Goals							
2020			2021			2022			2023			2024		
37% 2019 Baseline:	36%		38%			40%			42%			44%		
	Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets													
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	35	26	45	*	74	*	56	2	24	29	19	37	29	36
2020	36	28	45	*	74	*	57	3	26	30	20	37	30	37
2021	39	30	46	*	75	*	58	4	29	32	22	38	32	38
2022	42	34	46	*	75	*	60	6	33	34	25	38	34	40
2023	46	38	47	*	76	*	62	9	38	37	28	39	37	42
2024	51	44	49	*	78	*	65	12	44	40	33	41	40	44
2019-2021	4	4	1	*	1	*	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2022	7	8	1	7	1	4	4	4	9	5	6	1	5	4
2023	11	12	2	11	2	6	6	7	14	8	9	2	8	6
2024	16	18	4	16	4	8	9	10	20	11	14	4	11	8

				Bowma	an - ST	AAR Gi	ade 8 S	cience						
The percen	t of 8th grad	le students t	hat score N	leets grade	level or abo	ve on STAAI	R Science Gr	ade 8 will ir	ncrease from	1 53% in 201	9 to 55% by	June 2021.		
					Yearly	, Target	Goals							
2020			2021			2022			2023			2024		
54% 2019 Baseline: !	53%		55%			56%			57%			58%		
			Closir	ng the G	Saps Stu	ident G	roups Y	early Ta	argets					
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	43	45	72	*	79	*	38	12	41	33	36	54	48	53
2020	44	46	72	*	79	*	38	13	42	34	37	54	49	54
2021	47	49	72	*	79	*	39	14	45	35	38	54	50	55
2022	50	51	73	*	80	*	40	16	48	37	39	55	52	56
2023	54	55	73	*	80	*	42	19	52	39	41	55	54	57
2024	59	60	74	*	81	*	44	22	57	42	44	56	57	58
2019-2021	4	4	0	*	0	*	1	2	4	2	2	0	2	2
2019														
Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	2	2	0	2	2
2022	7	6	1	1	1	3	2	4	7	4	3	1	4	3
2023	11	10	1	1	1	4	4	7	11	6	5	1	6	4
2024	16	15	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	9	8	2	9	5

Bowman - Algebra 1

The percent of students taking the Algebra I EOC (first time testers and re-testers) that perform at the Meets grade level standard or above will increase from 98% in 2019 to 100% by June 2021.

	Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets													
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	100	95	100	*	100	*	*	*	98	*	92	99	92	98
2021	108	102	101	*	102	*	*	*	106	*	96	100	101	101
2019-2021	8	7	1	8	2	*	1	2	8	9	4	1	9	3

HB3 Campus Goals - All Grades STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	45	37	61		75		58	10	37	35	28	46	44	46
2020	47	39	61		75		59	11	39	36	30	46	45	47
2021	49	42	62		76		61	13	42	38	32	47	47	48
2022	52	45	63		77		62	15	46	40	34	48	49	50
2023	56	50	64		78		65	17	51	43	38	49	52	52
2024	61	55	65		79		68	20	57	46	42	50	56	54

Reading

Mathematics

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	55	54	75		95		58	21	54	61	50	63	58	62
2020	57	56	75		95		59	22	56	62	51	63	59	63
2021	59	58	76		96		60	24	58	63	52	64	60	63
2022	62	61	76		96		61	26	61	65	54	64	62	64
2023	66	65	77		97		62	28	65	67	56	65	64	66
2024	71	70	78		98		64	31	70	70	59	66	67	67

CAMPUS APPENDIX

STATE MANDATES IMPLEMENTATION REFERENCE

Texas law and Board Policies mandate the following be addressed with strategies for improving student performance. To increase the LEA's ability to focus on a limited number of targeted initiatives in this improvement plan, the LEA will plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the following mandates through other procedures and practices. When requested, the LEA Person Responsible will report progress to the site-based committee.

LEA Person Responsible for update	Mandate	Reference	Location of Documentation
Executive Director for Student & Family Services	Bullying Staff Prevention Identify high risk areas Monitor high risk areas Follow campus rules/expectations Staff Education Participate in annual staff training on bullying/sexual harassment/suicide prevention/trauma informed practices/human trafficking Review referral process Staff Intervention Establish recommended intervention strategies for classroom/campus Implement campus referral plan Utilize Discipline Management strategies Student Prevention Clearly state student expectations/campus rules/citizenship Monitor high risk areas Student Education Explain referral process/contacts Anonymous Tip Line Student Intervention Apply classroom interventions	TEC 11.252(a)(3)(E)	The school will follow the Student Handbook and Board Policies: FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB.

	 Employ discipline interventions Use other intervention strategies as necessary/appropriate Conference with parents/students 		
Principal	 Coordinated Health Program Coordinated School Health K-8 Designate a Campus Wellness Captain and establish a Campus Wellness Team; set meetings, establish measurable goals and document progress toward goal completion. K-8 Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. K-8 Ensure that all components of the Coordinated School Health curriculum are delivered in an appropriate setting, i.e. classroom component requires use of a classroom. K-8 Create a Coordinated School Health bulletin board inside the school building for students, staff and parents to view. K-8 Notify parents/community members of Family Wellness Nights/Health Fairs through use of marquee, newsletter, web page, and/or myPISD. Fitness 3-8 Pre and Post Assess all eligible students using fitness test components. 4th and 7th Ensure all data for 3rd - 8th grade students is entered on timely basis, fitness report cards are printed (4th grade and 7th grade) and sent to parents or linked through myPISD. Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. Physical Activity Requirements K-8 Campuses Only: Ensure students are receiving required physical education classes/minutes for each school year and achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) 50% of the physical education class period. K-8 Measure MVPA and physical activity time 	TEC 11.253(d) Board Policy FFA(Local)	The school will follow Board Policies: FFA and EHAA.

	 using pedometers and heart rate monitors. K-8 Ensure physical education staff is using a sequential and developmentally appropriate curriculum which has students active at least 70%-90% of class time. K-5 Ensure students are receiving daily unstructured play during recess. K-5 Encourage opportunities for brain breaks and short activity breaks throughout the day. Attendance K-8 Monitor attendance of students and follow up on prominent and chronic absences. 		
Principal	 Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals Local on-going high quality professional development based on campus needs or district identified needs is provided to all teachers in all core subject areas. Funding source: State and Local 	ESSA	
Principal	 Parent Involvement Require all parents to register students via Parent Portal in order to have access to eNews, grades, attendance, and other electronic information. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Identify parents without computer/Internet access and offer assistance through the District Mobile Technology Lab for parent education and access. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Upgrade and maintain the campus website for easy access and increased communication with the community. Funding source: State and Local Communicate information through eNews and through hard copies when Internet access is not available. Funding source: State and Local Utilize social media to keep parents and 		

 community informed. Funding source: State and Local PTA representative meets with the principal on a monthly basis to gain insight to student/parent needs. Funding source: State and Local Partner with PTA to offer parental programs on a variety of topics (academic, social, etc). Fundir source: State and Local Parent Education programs focused on relevant topics of interest will be available upon request any campus or PTA 	
---	--